

Creditreform Covered Bond Rating

DZ HYP AG Public Sector Covered Bond Program

Creditreform Rating

www.creditreform-rating.de

Rating Object	Rating Information	
DZ HYP, Public Sector Covered Bond Program	Rating / Outlook : AAA / Stable	Type: Initial Rating (unsolicited)
Type of Issuance: Public Sector Covered Bond under German law Issuer: DZ HYP AG	Rating Date: 10.12.2018 Monitoring until: Withdrawal of the rating	
LT Issuer Rating: AA- (DZ HYP) ST Issuer Rating: L2 Outlook Issuer: Stable	Rating Methodology: CRA „Covered Bond Ratings”	

Program Overview			
Nominal value	EUR 15.890 m.	WAL maturity covered bonds	7,60 (Years)
Cover pool value	EUR 18.858 m.	WAL maturity cover pool	8,80 (Years)
Cover pool asset class	Public Sector	Overcollateralization (nominal/committed)	18,67%/ 2,00%
Repayment method	Hard Bullet	Min. overcollateralization	2%
Legal framework	German Pfandbrief Act	Covered bonds coupon type	Fix (96,00%), Floating (4,00%)

Cut-off date Cover Pool information: 30.09.2018.

Summary

This rating report covers our analysis of the public sector covered bond program issued under German law by DZ HYP AG („DZ HYP“). The total covered bond issuance at the cut-off date (30.09.2018) had a nominal value of EUR 15.890,49 m, backed by a cover pool with a current value of EUR 18.857,88 m. This corresponds to a nominal overcollateralization of 18,67%. The cover assets mainly include German public sector assets as well as obligations of regional and local authorities in Germany.

Taking into consideration the issuer rating, our analysis of the regulatory framework, liquidity- and refinancing risks, as well as our cover pool assessment and results of the cash flow analysis, Creditreform Rating AG (“Creditreform Rating” or “CRA”) has assigned the covered bond program an AAA rating. The AAA rating represents the highest level of credit quality and the lowest investment risk.

Key Rating Findings

- + Covered Bonds are subject to strict legal requirements (PfandBG)
- + Covered bond holders have recourse to the issuer
- + Overcollateralization above the minimum required
- + The merger of DG HYP and WL Bank into DZ HYP

Table1: Overview results

Risk Factor	Result
Issuer rating	AA- (rating as of 25.09.2018)
+ Legal and regulatory framework	+4 notches
+ Liquidity and refinancing risk	+1 notch
= Rating after 1 st uplift	AAA
Cover pool & cash flow analysis	AAA
+ 2 nd rating uplift	+3 notches
= Rating covered bond program	AAA

Content

Summary	1
Key Rating Findings	1
Issuer Risk	2
Structural Risk	2
Liquidity and Refinancing Risk	5
Credit and Portfolio Risk	7
Cash-Flow Analysis	10
Counterparty Risk	12
Appendix	13
Rating History	13

Analysts

Edsson Rodriguez
Lead Analyst
e.rodiguez@creditreform-rating.de
+49 2131 109 1203

AFM Kamruzzaman
Analyst
a.kamruzzaman@creditreform-rating.de
+49 2131 109 1948

Neuss, Germany

Issuer Risk

Issuer

Our rating of DZ HYP is reflected by our rating opinion of DZ BANK AG Deutsche Zentral-Genossenschaftsbank (Group) due to its group structure. Therefore we refer to our rating of DZ BANK AG Deutsche Zentral-Genossenschaftsbank (Group).

DZ BANK AG Deutsche Zentral-Genossenschaftsbank (hereinafter: DZ BANK) is a part of the Genossenschaftliche FinanzGruppe, which comprises of around 1,000 Volksbanken and Raiffeisenbanken. Within the cooperative financial network, DZ BANK acts as a leading institution and central bank, thus supporting the independent cooperative banks. As a commercial bank, DZ BANK also directly serves companies and institutions that require a direct, national banking partner. In addition, DZ BANK has a holding function for other companies in the DZ BANK Group. The DZ BANK Group includes Bausparkasse Schwäbisch Hall, DZ PRIVATBANK, R + V Versicherung, TeamBank, Union Investment Group, VR Leasing Group and various other specialist institutions such as DVB S.E.

The DZ BANK Group presents its strategy and range of services for the cooperative banks and their customers on the basis of the four strategic business areas: Retail Banking, Corporate Banking, Capital Markets and Transaction Banking.

DZ BANK's balance sheet in 2017 was driven by the merger with WGZ Bank. Significant synergy effects should take effect in the coming years. However, income has already increased significantly within one year. The costs of integrating WGZ Bank and higher costs for digitization and regulation are having a negative impact. The net addition to the credit risk provision of ship loans of the specialist financier DVB had a negative impact on the annual result. The transport financier still had EUR 9.5 billion of ship loans in its books at the end of 2017. The sale process for key parts of DVB's business is already in progress. The asset quality of DZ BANK remains at a high level, which is reflected by the low depreciation and the low NPL ratios. As a central cooperative institute, DZ BANK benefits from its solid and conservative business model. Moreover, the comparison with the peer group shows that the regulatory capital ratios are still average. The liquidity situation of DZ BANK is satisfactory due to the broad refinancing base and the role as central cooperative bank.


Structural Risk

Transaction structure

Table 2: Overview of all transaction's parties | Source: CRA

Role	Name
Issuer	DZ HYP AG, Hamburg
Cover pool monitor / Trustee	Appointed by BaFin as stipulated in Pfandbriefgesetz, "PfandBG"
Cover pool administrator	Appointed by BaFin in case of issuer insolvency

Figure1: Overview of Covered Bond emission | Source: CRA



Legal and Regulatory Framework

The legal basis of covered bond („Pfandbriefe“) programs in Germany is the German Covered Bond Act (Pfandbriefgesetz, "PfandBG"). According to PfandBG, the public supervision of German covered bond banks is exercised by the Federal Financial Supervisory Authority (BaFin), which also grants licenses to operate the covered bond business. The supervisory duties include, for example, the verification of compliance with the statutory coverage and overcollateralization, the assessment and monitoring of market-, liquidity- and operational risks, as well as the performance of coverage tests based on appropriate samples. If necessary, BaFin can request additional information and information at any time.

In May 2014, Germany implemented the European Union's Bank Recovery and Resolution Directive ("BRRD") in national law, providing the resolution authorities with special resolution tools including the so-called "bail-in tool". Among others, this law ensures that covered bonds are exempted from a potential bail-in.

Listed issues according to the German PfandBG fulfil the criteria according to UCITS 52 (4) as well as the requirements according to Annex VI, part 1, section 58 (a) to (f) of the European Capital Requirements Directive (CRD) and are eligible for repo transactions.

Insolvency Remoteness and Asset Segregation

While cover assets remain on the consolidated balance sheet as long as the bank is solvent ("in-balance" transaction), PfandBG stipulates that the issuer create a cover register per asset class in order to identify the cover assets. Covered bonds must be fully backed by the appropriate cover asset class. In addition, PfandBG complies with the principle of uniform coverage, i.e each covered bond program includes only one cover pool which contains all the claims of this cover class. The legal framework prohibits the mixing of the primary cover asset classes.

In the event of a default by the issuer, the registered cover assets will be marked as a non-insolvent part of the issuer's estate and will be isolated from the insolvency estate; they form the insolvency-free assets of the issuer and are not affected by insolvency proceedings. If the cover pool is insufficient to fully service the claims of the covered bond creditors, the covered bond holders have recourse to the bank's aggregate bankruptcy estate in a *pari passu* relationship with other unsecured bond creditors.

In addition, there is no automatic sale of the cover assets or accelerated repayment of the covered bonds in the event of a default. Only in the case of insolvency or over-indebtedness of the cover pool can BaFin apply for a separate insolvency procedure to liquidate the assets of the covered bond issuer, thereby accelerating the repayment of the covered bonds.

Trustee

In order to ensure that the cover assets are correctly recorded in the relevant cover register and that their inclusion meets eligibility criteria, the issuer is obliged by the regulatory authority BaFin to appoint a trustee (including a representative). The issuer must communicate to the trustee any changes to the cover register in order to ensure the monitoring process. The responsibilities of the trustee include i.e. the review of the cover register and the statutory coverage ratios of the cover pool, the assurance of a proper determination of the mortgage lending values, and the approval of deletion of cover assets from the cover register.

Special Administrator

In the event of insolvency of the issuer, a special administrator („Sachwalter“) will be appointed to manage the cover pool. The special administrator may perform all legal transactions with effect to the cover pool, insofar as these are necessary for an orderly settlement in the interest and to the full satisfaction of the covered bond creditors. In the absence of liquidity, the custodian may engage in liquidity transactions or sell assets from the cover pool (see "Liquidity and refinancing risk"). The special administrator is also entitled to transfer all or part of the cover assets and liabilities of the program to another covered bond bank or to appoint a fiduciary administrator. Both decisions require the written approval of BaFin.

Eligibility Criteria

Eligible cover assets are loans backed by mortgages, public sector assets, and smaller asset classes such as registered ship mortgages or registered liens on registered aircrafts. Each asset class corresponds to an individual class of covered bonds: mortgage covered bonds ("Hypothekendarlehen"), public sector covered bonds ("Öffentliche Pfandbriefe"), ship covered bonds ("Schiffspfandbriefe") and aircraft covered bonds ("Flugzeugpfandbriefe"), respectively. The legal framework prohibits a commingling of primary asset classes. Consequently, a covered bond must be completely secured by its cover asset class. Furthermore, PfandBG conforms to the convention of unitary cover, i.e. to each covered bond type belongs one single cover pool, which contains all debts incurred from issuing covered bonds of that type. Thus, the issuer bank cannot decree to introduce a supplementary cover pool covering a new series of covered bonds of that type.

Exposures to the European Central Bank, central banks in the European Union or to appropriate credit institutions, which qualify for the CQS 1 with respect to the Directive 2006/48/EC, present eligible cover assets as well, but must not exceed 10% of the nominal value of covered bonds outstanding. Asset backed securities and mortgage backed securities are not allowed to be part of the cover pool.

The geographical scope of public sector loans is confined to EU/EEA countries, Switzerland, USA, Canada and Japan. Ship and aircraft mortgages can be warranted worldwide, given that there is a public register and the guarantee of a security comparable to German ship and aircraft mortgages. The total amount of loans provided in non-EU countries, where it is not ensured that the right of preferential treatment of covered bond holders extends to the cover assets, is limited to 10% of the total volume of the cover loans and 20% for ship and aircraft mortgages. Due to the fact that the legal framework does not restrict the geographical scope to the EU/EEA countries and permits extension to other countries, Germany is considered partially aligned with EBA's best practice.

Permitted substitute assets are limited to 10% of the total amount of public sector covered bonds outstanding.

Systemic Relevance and External Support

While the total volume of outstanding covered bonds was more than EUR 889 billion in 2007¹, it fell by more than half to EUR 366 billion in 2017. The main determinant of this decline is the public sector covered bonds, which declined from around EUR 678 billion to EUR 148 billion in this period. This decline in public-sector covered bonds is due, in particular, to a decrease in new issuances, which have fallen by approx. 90% in the last ten years, the value accounting for approximately 40% of the total covered bond market.

The merger of DG HYP and WL BANK into DZ HYP became legally effective (retroactive effect from 1 January 2018) with the Commercial Register entries on 27 July 2018. With this merger, DZ HYP became one of the major issuer of Pfandbriefe on the German market. As of 30.09.2018, DG HYP was the third-largest public Pfandbriefe issuer on the German Pfandbrief market with an outstanding volume of EUR 15,89 billion.

Summary Structural Risk

In general, the PfandBG defines the legal basis for covered bond programs in Germany, it defines clear rules to mitigate risks in particular regarding: insolvency remoteness, asset segregation, investor's special claim vis-à-vis other creditors, the roll and appointment of a special administrator, among other provisions.

Due to the extended geographical scope of eligible assets other than EU/EEA countries, Germany is considered partially aligned with EBA's best practices. However, we considered the structural framework in Germany as positive, accomplishing an adequate set of rules for German covered bonds. Furthermore, we contemplate the importance of DZ HYP in the German Pfandbrief market in our analysis. Due to those reasons, a maximum rating uplift of (+4) notches is possible for this program, however, we have set a rating uplift of three (+3) notches as the maximum possible rating has been achieved.

Liquidity and Refinancing Risk

Minimum Overcollateralization

According to PfandBG, it is compulsory to maintain an overcollateralization (OC) of at least 2% measured on a daily net present value and on a weekly stressed net present value. This mandatory overcollateralization has to be covered under interest rate and currency stress scenarios. Overall, Germany is considered to be fully aligned with EBA Best Practices regarding coverage principles and regulatory overcollateralization.

Short-term Liquidity Coverage

The Issuer is required to maintain a liquidity buffer for the next 180 days to cover all debt service outflows (interest and principal) and derivative transactions. The calculation of the buffer size takes into account the cash inflows from maturing receivables of the cover pool. The buffer amount must be kept in liquid assets and is measured on a daily basis.

A further measure to decrease liquidity risk in the German PfandBG is the provision that after the insolvency of the issuer a covered bond bank has its own bank status and can therefore obtain liquidity from the central bank (Bundesbank) against pledging of its cover assets (repo ability).

¹ Source: EMF-ECBC (2018), ECBC: European Covered Bond Fact Book 2018, EMF-ECBC

Stress Tests and Matching

The issuer must ensure that the present value coverage and OC is also maintained in the case of changes in interest rates and exchange rates. For this purpose, the underlying cover pool must be subjected to a stress test at least weekly. If a deficit is detected on the basis of the present values determined by applying the respective stress scenario, the resulting shortfall must be added immediately to the cover pool. A decrease in the cover pool assets and corresponding OC may only be made if the result of a stress test does not show a shortfall.

Asset-Liability Mismatch

Asset-liability mismatches ("ALM") arise with different maturities of cover assets and covered bonds. According to PfandBG, natural matching - i.e. the congruence of present values – forms the essential approach to reduce ALM risk. In addition, the statutory liquidity coverage requirement for 180 days is a safeguard mechanism to ensure the servicing of pending principal and interest payments.

Repayment Method

This covered bond program issues covered bonds with hard bullet maturity, i.e. a final repayment without extension optionality at the end of the term. Maturity mismatches between cover assets and liabilities thus cannot be mitigated by extension of the legal final maturity. This feature of German covered bond programs is considered both qualitatively and within our cash flow analysis.

Refinancing Costs

In the event of the issuer's insolvency, the PfandBG framework stipulates that the special administrator can sell assets of the cover pool or use them as a guarantee for liquidity operations if liquidity shortfalls are foreseeable.

CRA's analysis assumes that refinancing gaps due to ALM will be closed by a sale of assets from the cover pool. In doing so, we take into account related costs in the form of a discount to the nominal value. The quantification of this discount is adjusted following an analysis of relevant market data and will be used in our cash flow analysis.

Other liquidity risks

Derivatives can be an additional measure to hedge interest rate and currency risks. The legal framework provides for weekly stress tests to be conducted on interest rate- and foreign exchange risks. The stress scenarios are either static, dynamic or model-based.

Information on the maturity of outstanding bonds, notional and NPV coverage, the structure of the cover assets, positions in derivatives and the fixed interest periods, the stress tests and the respective coverage shall be published in each quarter.

Summary Liquidity and Refinancing Risk

Compared to other jurisdictions, the German PfandBG and the stipulated risk management processes for liquidity risks constitute a comparatively strict framework by which they can be effectively reduced.

Refinancing risks, however, cannot be structurally reduced due to the hard bullet repayment structure, which can only be cushioned by sufficiently high overcollateralization, short-term cash availability, or other liquid funds to bridge the asset-liability mismatches in the portfolio. Nevertheless, we assess the overall legal provisions on liquidity management for German Covered Bond programs as positive which ensures a rating uplift of one (+1) notch for this program. However, we have set a rating uplift of zero (0) notch as the maximum possible rating for this program has already been achieved.

Credit and Portfolio Risk

Cover pool analysis

The analysis of the cover pool is based on public information which has been made available by the Issuer, in particular the Harmonised Transparency Template („HTT“) as per regulatory requirements. This information was sufficient according to CRA’s rating methodology “Covered Bond Ratings”.


At the cut-off-date 30.09.2018, the pool of cover assets consisted of 20.046 debt receivables from 7.465 debtors, of which 77,46% are domiciled in Germany. The total cover pool volume amounted to EUR 18.857,88 m in bonds (19,16%), loans (80,84%) and others (0,00%) which have been lent to the central government, regional authorities and entities, and other debtors. The ten largest debtors of the portfolio total to 13,41%. Table 3 displays additional characteristics of the cover pool:

Table 3: Cover pool characteristics | Source: DZ HYP

Characteristics	Value
Cover assets	EUR 18.858 m.
Covered bonds outstanding	EUR 15.890 m.
Substitute assets	EUR 36,23 m.
Cover pool composition	
<i>Public Sector</i>	99,81%
<i>Substitute assets</i>	0,19%
<i>Other / Derivative</i>	0,00%
Number of debtors	7.465
<i>Bonds</i>	19,16%
<i>Loans</i>	80,84%
<i>Other</i>	0,00%
Average asset value	EUR 938,92 k.
Non-performing loans	0,0%
10 biggest debtors	13,41%
WA seasoning	115,2 Months
WA life cover pool	8,80 Years
WA life covered bonds	7,60 Years

We have listed an extended view of the composition of the cover pool in the appendix section “Cover pool details”, with, for example, a detailed regional distribution. The following chart displays the maturity profile of the cover assets at the cut-off date 30.09.2018 (see figure 2):

Figure 2: Distribution by remaining time to maturity | Source: DZ HYP



Maturity profile

The following charts present the cash flow profile of the Issuer (see figure 3 and figure 4):

Figure 3: Cover asset congruence | Source: DZ HYP





Figure 4: Amortization profile | Source: DZ HYP



During its cash flow modelling, CRA has taken into consideration the maturity structure of cover assets and liabilities. This structure was an integral part of the cash flow analysis.

Interest rate and currency risk


This covered bond program does not use derivatives to hedge interest rate- and currency risk. However, the legal framework provides for weekly stress tests to be conducted on interest rate- and currency risks to maintain the mandatory OC. Therefore, interest rate risk could be mitigated by the 2% OC requirement. Currency risk, on the other hand, is also limited for this program as 96,03% of the cover pool assets and 96,00% of the cover bonds are denominated in euro. Nevertheless, we have applied interest rate and foreign exchange stresses on the cash flows for each rating level according to our methodology. The overall rating impact of interest rate and currency mismatches was minimal for this program, which has been incorporated in our 'Overcollateralization Break-Even Analysis' segment.

Table 4: Program distribution by currency | Source: DZ HYP

Currency	Volume	Share (%)
<i>Cover Pool</i>		
EUR	18.110 m	96,03%
USD	394 m	2,09%
GBP	23 m	0,12%
JPY	30 m	0,16%
CHF	269 m	1,43%
CAD	32 m	0,17%
<i>Covered Bond</i>		
EUR	15.255 m	96,00%
USD	419 m	2,64%
GBP	23 m	0,14%
CHF	194 m	1,22%

Figure 5 shows the types of interest rate used in this program

Figure 5: Type of interest rate | Source: DZ HYP



Credit Risk

In Covered Bond Public Sector programs, CRA assesses the credit risk of the cover assets primarily through an assessment of the creditworthiness of the obligors and their future ability to meet all payment obligations. In order to derive a base case assumption for credit risk, CRA uses the CRA Sovereign Ratings of all obligors in the portfolio, which will be taken into account pro-rata. The rating reports of relevant sovereigns can be accessed at www.creditreform-rating.de. Using all portfolio information available (number of debtors, sovereign – sub-sovereign, maturity profile, regional diversification etc.), CRA has modelled the cover asset portfolio and, using Monte Carlo simulations, derived a distribution of defaults which can be used to elicit rating-level dependent default assumptions.

Recovery and loss-severity assumptions have been determined in accordance with CRA rating methodology. This includes a differentiation of sovereign- and sub-sovereign credits in terms of loss severities, which is included using the current portfolio composition to determine a weighted average recovery rate.

Using both rating-level dependent default and recovery assumptions, the following loss assumptions have been derived for the current cover pool (see table 5):

Table 5: Cover Pool Base case assumptions | Source: CRA

Rating	Default Rate (%)	Recoveries (%)	Expected Loss (%)
AAA	20,18%	40,39%	12,03%
AA+	17,32%	42,89%	9,89%
AA	15,89%	45,39%	8,68%
AA-	13,68%	47,06%	7,24%
A+	12,89%	48,72%	6,61%
A	12,27%	50,39%	6,09%
A-	11,26%	52,06%	5,40%

Cash-Flow Analysis

Model Assumptions

Based on public information and using the base case loss assumptions, we implement a scenario-based cash flow model. This model aims to test the ability of the structure to service all covered bonds according to their payment profile in diverse stress scenarios. The CRA cash flow analysis assumes that the Issuer has defaulted, i.e. all obligations will be met using cash flows from the cover pool assets only. We also assume that no additional assets will be added to the cover pool during the wind-down phase.

Asset-Sale Discount

In our model, short-term liquidity needs and liquidity needs due to asset-liability mismatches will be met with a sale of cover assets available for monetization. Based on secondary market data, CRA assumes a rating-level haircut on the asset value („Asset-Sale Discount“) which represents additional costs of disposal and market risks during the sale of cover assets (see Table 6).

Yield Spread

Since cover assets often have a positive yield spread against the covered bonds issued, CRA uses available public information (i.e. issuers' annual accounts) to size this assumed spread („Yield Spread“) (see table 6):

Table 6: Cash-Flow Model assumptions | Source: CRA

Rating level	Asset-Sale Discount	Yield Spread
AAA	13,51%	0,41%
AA+	12,23%	0,44%
AA	11,40%	0,47%
AA-	10,61%	0,48%
A+	10,00%	0,50%
A	9,50%	0,51%
A-	8,85%	0,53%

Rating Scenarios

Scenarios that have been tested in our cash flow model rely on the variation of several central input parameters, such as:

- Portfolio composition (diversification, concentration, granularity)
- Probability of default of cover assets
- Correlations of cover assets and systematic risk factors
- Recoveries
- Maturity profile of covered bonds and cover assets (ALM)

Within an **AAA** rating scenario, the cash flow model showed that obligations can be paid fully and in a timely manner. In total, the cash flow analysis revealed that the portfolio, given all information available as of 30.09.2018, could be sufficient to repay bond nominal capital notwithstanding the occurrence of any extraordinary events. On this basis, the rating of the cover pool within our covered bond program rating has been set at AAA.

Overcollateralization Break-Even Analysis

CRA also performed a break-even OC analysis. Such OC levels should bear the corresponding losses for a given rating scenario. Main drivers of the analysis are:

- ALM
- Loss level

Creditreform Covered Bond Rating

DZ HYP AG Public Sector Covered Bond Program
Public Sector Covered Bond Program

Creditreform Rating

www.creditreform-rating.de

- Interest rate spreads
- Foreign currency mismatches
- Recoveries.

Performing the break-even OC analysis, we took rating-level specific stressed outcomes into account. Based on these analyses, the maximum OC required for each relevant rating level during the whole period has been presented in table 7.

Table 7: Breakeven Analysis | Source: CRA

Rating Level	Breakeven OC
AAA	12,71%
AA+	10,00%
AA	8,47%
AA-	6,76%
A+	5,95%
A	5,29%
A-	4,43%

Sensitivity Analysis

CRA also evaluates the sensitivity of the structure and program with respect to important input parameters. In particular, the following factors have been varied:

- Credit quality of cover assets
- Recoveries

The following table presents the rating impact of a decline in recoveries and an increase in the credit risk of single debtors (sovereigns). Starting from the best-case, which is represented by our base case assumptions, the analysis reveals the sensitivity of the rating with respect to recovery rates and credit risk. The worst-case scenario, in which we reduce recoveries by 50% and increase credit risk by 50%, the impact can be seen by a change in the implied rating. Based on the base case, there is a sensitivity of rating in terms of decreased recovery (rating reduced by 1 notch). In the worst-case scenario, i.e. a 50% decrease in the base case assumptions leads to a reduction in the base-case rating of 3 notches (see Table 8):

Table 8: Covered Bond Program Sensitivity: Credit Quality und Recovery Rates | Source: CRA

Defaults \ Recovery	Base Case	-25%	-50%
Base Case	AAA	AAA	AAA
+25%	AAA	AA+	AA+
+50%	AA+	AA	AA-

Summary Cash-Flow Analysis

Based on public information and using the base case loss assumptions, the analysis showed that obligations can be paid in full and in a timely manner. Overall, the cash flow analysis revealed that the portfolio, given the used information, may ensure the repayment of bonds' nominal capital notwithstanding the occurrence of the presented stressed scenarios. Therefore, the rating of the cover pool within our covered bond program rating has been set at AAA. This ensures a maximum possible rating uplift of three (+3) notches for this program, however, the secondary rating uplift has been set at zero (0) notch as the maximum rating for this program has already been achieved.

Counterparty Risk

Transaction parties

Table 9: Participant counterparties | Source: DZ HYP

Role	Name	Legal Entity Identifier	CRA Assessment
Issuer	DZ HYP	5299004TE2DYMKEAM814	AA- (LT Rating)
Servicer	Not applicable for the jurisdiction	Not applicable for the jurisdiction	
Account Bank	Not applicable for the jurisdiction	Not applicable for the jurisdiction	
Sponsor	Not applicable for the jurisdiction	Not applicable for the jurisdiction	

Derivatives

No derivatives in use at present.

Commingling

Incoming cash flows generated from the cover pool will normally be transferred to the Issuer and will be forwarded to the covered bond holders according to the payment terms and conditions. Should the issuer become bankrupt, there is a risk ("commingling risk") that funds may not be returned and commingled with the insolvency estate of the issuer. In order to avoid such risk, the PfandBG stipulates that the cover assets should be isolated from the general bankruptcy estate (insolvency-free assets) and a special cover pool administrator ("Sachwalter") will be appointed to manage the cover pool. Under that mandate the cover pool administrator will have first priority on the up-coming cash flows from the cover assets. These cash flows in turn should be used to cover interest and principal payments of the covered bond holders in event of the Issuer's insolvency.

Appendix

Rating History

Event	Initial Rating
Result	AAA
Rating Date	10.12.2018
Publication Date	19.12.2018

Details Cover Pool

Table 10: Characteristics of Cover Pool | Source: DZ HYP

Characteristics	Value
Cover Pool Volume	EUR 18.858 m
Covered Bond Outstanding	EUR 15.890 m
Substitute Assets	EUR 36 m
Share Derivatives	0,00%
Share Other	100,00%
Substitute Assets breakdown by asset type	
Cash	0,00%
Guaranteed by Supranational/Sovereign agency	0,00%
Central bank	0,00%
Credit institutions	100,00%
Other	0,00%
Substitute Assets breakdown by country	
Issuers country	100,00%
Eurozone	0,00%
Rest European Union	0,00%
European Economic Area	0,00%
Switzerland	0,00%
Australia	0,00%
Brazil	0,00%
Canada	0,00%
Japan	0,00%
Korea	0,00%
New Zealand	0,00%
Singapore	0,00%
US	0,00%
Other	0,00%
Cover Pools' Composition	
Public Sector	99,81%
Total Substitution Assets	0,19%
Other / Derivatives	0,00%
Number of Debtors	7.465
Distribution by debtor type	
Central Government	10,60%

Creditreform Covered Bond Rating

DZ HYP AG Public Sector Covered Bond Program
Public Sector Covered Bond Program

Creditreform Rating

www.creditreform-rating.de

Regional authorities	20,63%
Municipal authorities	61,56%
Other	7,20%
Distribution by asset type	
Loans	80,84%
Bonds	19,16%
Other	0,00%
Average asset value	EUR 939 k
Share Non-Performing Loans	0,00%
Share of 10 biggest debtors	13,41%
WA Maturity (months)	105,6
WAL (months)	105,60
Distribution by Country (%)	
Austria	2,45
Belgium	2,12
France	0,47
Germany	77,46
Italy	5,19
Luxembourg	0,04
Portugal	2,55
Spain	6,34
Switzerland	1,19
Canada	2,20
Distribution by Region (%)	
Baden-Württemberg	14,09
Bayern	9,00
Berlin	0,46
Brandenburg	0,33
Bremen	0,49
Hamburg	0,14
Hessen	14,41
Mecklenburg-Vorpommern	0,85
Niedersachsen	14,39
Nordrhein-Westfalen	27,57
Rheinland-Pfalz	10,14
Saarland	3,06
Sachsen-Anhalt	0,73
Sachsen	0,86
Schleswig-Holstein	3,13
Thüringen	0,35

Arrears

No deals were reported in arrears at present


Creditreform Covered Bond Rating

DZ HYP AG Public Sector Covered Bond Program
Public Sector Covered Bond Program

Creditreform Rating

www.creditreform-rating.de

Figure 6: Program currency mismatches | Source: DZ HYP



Key Source of Information

Documents (Date: 30.09.2018)

Issuer

- Audited consolidated annual reports of DZ Bank (Group) 2014-2017
- Final Rating report as of 25.09.2018
- Rating file 2018
- Disclosure Reports of DZ Bank (Group) 2014-2017
- Miscellaneous Investor Relations Information and Press releases
- Peergroup-Data and other data from the S&P Global Intelligence Database

Covered Bond and Cover Pool

- HTT Reporting from DZ HYP (30.09.2018)
- Market data Public Sector Cover Bond Program.

Regulatory and Legal Disclosures

Creditreform Rating AG was neither commissioned by the rating object nor by any other third parties for the rating. The analysis took place on a voluntary basis by Creditreform Rating AG and is to be described in the regulatory sense as an unsolicited rating. The rating was conducted on the basis of Creditreform Rating's "Covered Bond Ratings" methodology in conjunction with Creditreform's basic document "Rating Criteria and Definitions".

The rating is based on publicly available information and internal evaluation methods for the rated bank and program. The issuer's quantitative analysis is based mainly on the latest annual accounts, interim reports, other information of the bank pertaining to investor relations, and key figures calculated by S&P Global Market Intelligence subject to a peer group analysis of 49 competing institutes. The cover pool's quantitative analysis for the rated Covered Bond Program was based on the "Harmonised Transparency Template" (HTT) published by the DZ HYP.

A complete description of Creditreform Rating's rating methodologies and Creditreform's basic document "Rating Criteria and Definitions" is published on the following internet page:

www.creditreform-rating.de/en/regulatory-requirements/

This rating was carried out by analysts Edsson Rodriguez und AFM Kamruzzaman both based in Neuss/Germany. On 10.12.2018, the rating was presented to the rating committee by the analysts and adopted in a resolution.

The rating result was communicated to the DZ HYP, and the preliminary rating report was made available. The Issuer and all relevant parties examined the rating report prior to publication and

were given at least one full working day to appeal the rating committee decision and provide additional information. The rating decision was not amended following this examination.

The rating is subject to one-year monitoring from the creation date (see cover sheet). Within this period, the rating can be updated. After one year at the latest, a follow-up is required to maintain the validity of the rating.

In 2011 Creditreform Rating AG was registered within the European Union according to EU Regulation 1060/2009 (CRA-Regulation). Based on the registration Creditreform Rating AG (CRA) is permitted to issue credit ratings within the EU and is bound to comply with the provisions of the CRA-Regulation.

Conflict of Interests

No conflicts of interest were identified during the rating process that might influence the analyses and judgements of the rating analysts involved or any other natural person whose services are placed at the disposal or under the control of Creditreform Rating AG and who are directly involved in credit rating activities or approving credit ratings and rating outlooks.

In the event of provision of ancillary services to the rated entity, CRA will disclose all ancillary services in the credit rating report.

Rules on the Presentation of Credit Ratings and Rating Outlooks

The approval of credit ratings and rating outlooks follows our internal policies and procedures. In line with our policy "Rating Committee," all credit ratings and rating outlooks are approved by a rating committee based on the principle of unanimity.

To prepare this credit rating, CRA has used following substantially material sources:

1. Transaction structure and participants
2. Transaction documents
3. Issuing documents

There are no other attributes and limitations of the credit rating or rating outlook other than displayed on the CRA website. Furthermore, CRA considers satisfactory the quality and extent of information available on the rated entity. In regard to the rated entity, Creditreform Rating AG regarded available historical data as sufficient.

Between the disclosure of the credit rating to the rated entity and the public disclosure no amendments were made to the credit rating.

The "Basic data" information card indicates the principal methodology or version of methodology that was used in determining the rating, with a reference to its comprehensive description.

In cases where the credit rating is based on more than one methodology, or where reference only to the principal methodology might cause investors to overlook other important aspects of the credit rating, including any significant adjustments and deviations, Creditreform Rating AG explains this fact in the credit rating and indicates how the different methodologies and other aspects are taken into account in the credit rating. This information is integrated in the credit rating report.

The meaning of each rating category, the definition of default or recovery, and any appropriate risk warning, including a sensitivity analysis of the relevant key rating assumptions, such as mathematical or correlation assumptions, accompanied by worst-case scenario credit ratings as well as best-case scenario credit ratings, are explained.

The date at which the credit rating was released for distribution for the first time and when it was last updated including any rating outlooks, is indicated clearly and prominently in the "Basic data" card as a "Rating action"; first release is indicated as "initial rating", other updates are indicated as an "update", "upgrade or downgrade", "not rated", "confirmed", "selective default" or "default".

In the case of a rating outlook, the time horizon is provided during which a change in the credit rating is expected. This information is available within „Basic data“ information card.

In accordance to Article 11 (2) EU-Regulation (EC) No 1060/2009 registered or certified credit rating agency shall make available in a central repository established by ESMA information on its historical performance data, including the ratings transition frequency, and information about credit ratings issued in the past and on their changes. Requested data are available at the ESMA website: <https://cerep.esma.europa.eu/cerep-web/statistics/defaults.xhtml>.

An explanatory statement of the meaning of Creditreform`s default rates are available in the credit rating methodologies disclosed on the website.

Disclaimer

Any rating performed by Creditreform Rating AG is subject to the Creditreform Rating AG Code of Conduct which has been published on the web pages of Creditreform Rating AG. In this Code of Conduct, Creditreform Rating AG commits itself – systematically and with due diligence – to establish its independent and objective opinion as to the sustainability, risks and opportunities concerning the enterprise or the issue under review.

Future events are uncertain, and forecasts are necessarily based on assessments and assumptions. This rating is therefore no statement of fact, but an opinion. For this reason, Creditreform Rating AG cannot be held liable for the consequences of decisions made on the basis of any of their ratings. Neither should these ratings be construed as recommendations for investors, buyers or sellers. They should only be used by market participants (entrepreneurs, bankers, investors etc.) as one factor among others when arriving at corporate or investment decisions. Ratings are not meant to be used as substitutes for one`s own research, inquiries and assessments.

We have assumed that the documents and information made available to us by the client are complete and accurate and that the copies provided to us represent the full and unchanged contents of the original documents. Creditreform Rating AG assumes no responsibility for the true and fair representation of the original information.

This report is protected by copyright. Any commercial use is prohibited without prior written permission from Creditreform Rating AG. Only the full report may be published in order to prevent distortion of the report`s overall assessment. Excerpts may only be used with the express consent of Creditreform Rating AG. Publication of the report without the consent of Creditreform Rating AG is prohibited. Only ratings published on the Creditreform Rating AG web pages remain valid.

Creditreform Rating AG

Contacts

Creditreform Rating AG

Hellersbergstraße 11
D - 41460 Neuss

Fon +49 (0) 2131 / 109-626
Fax +49 (0) 2131 / 109-627
E-Mail info@creditreform-rating.de
Internet www.creditreform-rating.de

CEO:
Dr. Michael Munsch
Chairman of the board:
Prof. Dr. Helmut Rödl

HRB 10522, Amtsgericht Neuss